

Jesuit Invention of The Protocols of Zion: A Hypothesis

Terry Wolfe
October 13^h, 2021

DISCLAIMER: I do not claim to be an expert on anything. As a lay researcher and Bible-believing Christian I offer this hypothesis as a challenge to the biggest conspiracy theory against Jews that exists today. Namely, I hypothesize that *The Protocols of the Meetings of the Learned Elders of Zion* (hereafter called the 'Protocols') was created by the Jesuits as a means to deflect suspicion from their own conspiracy against Europe and North America from the late 1700s to our current day. It is a hypothesis only, and could be wrong.

An archived digital version of the Protocols can be found [here](#). I suggest you get a copy.

Why Jesuits? Why Jews?

Before speaking about the Protocols themselves, let me draw your attention to the remarkable circumstances surrounding the Jesuit Order in both the late 1700s and the late 1800s. This will inform the whole question of the Protocols.

By the 1740s the Jesuits were wealthy, internationally famous, and acting as if they were beyond the control of colonial powers such as France and Spain. The Jesuits operated their own slave colonies in South America and elsewhere, tricking natives into donating their land under the delusion that the Jesuits were establishing modest religious communes for their benefit. Disguising their activities, they exported untold wealth back to their trading posts in France. They paid no taxes to the monarchs who protected their trade routes and presided over their lands, exploiting the poorest and most ignorant people of the world while also taking advantage of the tolerant ruling powers of Europe. They were warned to obey the monarchs and relinquish their claims of being above the law, but with typical arrogance their Jesuit General (the head of their Order, a military commander) stated that they should be allowed to operate freely wherever they existed, or not allowed to exist at all. This was a poor choice of words, and the kings responded accordingly. France and Spain both banished the Jesuits from their territories, and just like that, the wealthy holdings of the Jesuits were confiscated and given to other Catholic orders who were more respectful of worldly authority. The Jesuits would not take this lightly. But the European nobility knew all about the Jesuits' greed and false holiness, and were tired of their insolence by the 1760s. The Pope himself succumbed to international pressure in 1773 by abolishing the very office of the Jesuit Order worldwide, with a promise that they would never return.

But it was during their supposed absence that they were the most active. Three years after their Order's abolishment, a Jesuit named Adam Weishaupt established the Illuminati in Bavaria. This would be the main proxy organizations through which they enacted revenge on the French nobles, creating the so-called "Jacobins" to murder and destroy as many as they could get their hands on. The French Revolution was soon to follow. After this came the rise of Napoleon Bonaparte, further terrorizing Christian monarchs and Vatican alike. The Pope had betrayed the Jesuits, and the Jesuits wanted to humble the Papacy in turn. Their plan worked, and the Jesuits were "restored" in 1814, just over forty years later. Napoleon was nothing but a puppet of the Jesuits, incapable and stupid without the vast intelligence network provided by them.

It was around this time that a French Jesuit priest named Abbe Barruel published a scandalous conspiracy theory, detailing how the murderous and mysterious sect called Jacobins had been created by the Freemasons and Illuminati behind the scenes. Called *Memoirs, Illustrating the History of Jacobinism*, it is available for download [here](#). Ultimately the blame was placed on the Illuminati, which Barruel never acknowledge was governed by the Jesuits. Officially, Adam Weishaupt was opposed to the Jesuits, as were Freemasons. Then, a few decades later, Barruel produced the “Simonini Letter”, which went even further, and blamed everything on an even greater and more secretive Jewish conspiracy. And this is how the notion of a secret Jewish world conspiracy originated and became mingled with the idea of the Freemasons, Illuminati, and Zionism.

A very similar situation arose a hundred years later, in the late 1800s. The Jesuits had restored for decades, but they were once again caught in their trickery, sabotage, conspiracies, and deception, and faced the possibility of abolishment again. They were exiled from certain countries and exposed as manipulators of the public. They used the same tactics in response: a bloody terror campaign against Christian nobles, blamed on Masons and Jews, with a substantiating document (the Protocols) at the center of the confusion. Only this time they did not take credit for writing the document themselves, but released it through their own channels as a secret discovery.

Jesuit deflection

Both Barruel’s *Memoirs* and the later *Protocols* have striking similarities. They both sought to create paranoia within European Christian nobility, calling for them to unite against the unseen conspiracy threatening to destroy their prosperous and seemingly untouchable kingdoms. In the case of Barruel’s *Memoirs* this message was direct, but in the case of the *Protocols* it was done using reverse-psychology, as the “elders of Zion” brag about being unstoppable unless Protestants and Catholics put aside their differences and form an alliance. The message was the same. By today’s standards this might be considered sloppy

propaganda, and even in the 1920s it was not believed by most people who heard about it. Nevertheless, it is clear why the Jesuits wrote it: *to popularize the idea of a common enemy that threatened all of Christianity*. In both cases they used their own “abolished” Jesuit agents to foment the revolutions that would legitimize their “warnings”.

Anglican, Lutheran, and Russian Orthodox churches had no love for the Vatican; they hated the constant meddling of Jesuits in their affairs. The German chancellor Otto von Bismarck created a campaign called “Kulturkampf” (struggle for civilization) to systematically expose the Jesuits across Europe. In 1872 he banished them from Germany altogether. Shortly afterward the Protocols were created, and suddenly the Zionist conspiracy seemed to explode again, only this time in a more cartoonishly overt fashion that betrayed the whole idea of a secret agenda. The result was Marxism, the bloody Bolshevik Revolution in Russia, and the spreading of liberal socialist agitation across Europe, with prominent “Jewish” leaders drawing negative attention in almost every nation. The “Zionist Congress” (which I doubt even happened) conveniently called for Jews everywhere to suddenly become visible, loud, and obnoxious to European monarchs while, at the same time, the Protocols called for discretion and subtlety in arranging events through Freemasons. It is therefore silly to even humor the idea that what happened was a Zionist plan, much an ancient mystical conspiracy passed down by geniuses for generations.

The truth is, Jews were gaining acceptance and coexisting with Christians everywhere before the Jesuits were banished. There was no Jewish conspiracy, only a steady desire to be free from the discrimination. Catholic countries such as Poland randomly abused Jews in order to keep the old animosities burning. They needed Jews to remain an angry underclass precisely so that they could be exploited as a revolutionary force when the timing was right. The Lutheran Otto von Bismarck was cooperating with (so-called) Jewish socialists and finding useful compromises, so that Germany was one of the most progressive and prosperous nations in Europe.

Thus, the Jesuits were in the unfortunate position of needing to draw immediate attention to obvious “Jewish” meddling while still maintaining

the pretense that it was not meant to be noticed. The Zionist conspiracy theory has suffered from this humorous paradox ever since; it is supposed to be seen as the most forbidden, controversial, hidden plot ever to exist, orchestrated by masters of hiding the truth, while constantly being advertised by the same controlled “truth” movement who never acknowledge the role of the Jesuits or the Catholic Church, despite them being an actual military order with a long history of very real sabotage, murder, war, and conspiracy.

My hypothesis is that, like the mysterious onslaught of the Jacobins before the French Revolution, the release of the Protocols and the subsequent campaign of “Jewish” disruption was staged by Jesuits using actors and proxy groups who hoped to exploit the real suffering of actual Jews. This document will brainstorm how this was possible.

Bias against Jews

Jews had integrated into Europe to the extent that they could, but they were treated with contempt and suspicion by many, especially within the Catholic Church and its derivatives. It is well-known that usury was declared a mortal sin by the Catholic Church, and that because Jews were not Christians, but had special Old Testament permissions, they were allowed to be an exception to this rule. Rather than being honest and charging usury themselves, royal families kept “court Jews” in their company for this purpose, giving them the job of exchanging currencies, making profitable deals, and doing the ugly business they didn’t want to be associated with. Funding mercenaries and financing operations became a normal part of these court Jews’ existence. Think of it as a moral laundering operation. Jews were willing to deal with foreigners, use family connections, and take advantage of those in need for corporate gain, while the Christian royals found this repulsive. It was hypocritical, of course, since they did not praise Jews as useful allies or approve of their strategies, but still condemned them as greedy, evil, cunning, and ruthless while using them. The Christian ruling families wanted the wealth, but not the guilt. Thus, Jews were trained by

circumstance to play out the very stereotype they would be demonized for.

Hatred and distrust of Jews over centuries ensured that any partnership with their leaders was highly delicate and circumstantial, not deeply inbred as the conspiracy theory suggests. The Roman Catholic church was a bitter opponent of anyone who defied their conquests and forced conversions, which is why they slaughtered Jews in the French/German region in 1096 AD. They were expelled from England and France in 1290 and 1394, respectively. Jews were blamed for causing the Black Death plague and other misfortunes. The reformer Martin Luther wrote a booklet called “The Jews and Their Lies” in 1543 after he failed to win them over to Christianity with his purified version of church doctrine. They were segregated, persecuted, and forbidden from the official levers of power. Many were thrown in jail or punished on flimsy accusations, and their property was often confiscated. This does not stop believers in the Zionist conspiracy theory from imagining that a vast and robust partnership was fostered at the highest level between Jews and Catholics; and later, Protestants and atheists. The obvious contradictions and implausibility only serves to make the treachery more salivating to them because they are capable of “noticing”. They fall into a dazzled spell connecting Templars, Jerusalem, and Eastern mysticism without stopping to think seriously about the basic reality on the ground, where Jews were often divided, unorganized, migrating, struggling, and uninterested in returning to Jerusalem or building a new temple. Crypto-Jews and secret bloodlines becomes their only answer, along with ancient warnings in the Scriptures that they choose to interpret as applicable to all future generations of Jews. The Jesuits capitalized on all of this, hoping they could rewrite history to seem as if Jews were the dominant force of the world, not the Satanic Roman empire of blasphemy.

Jesuit desperation

In reality, the Jesuits were far more dangerous than any Zionist league could have hoped to become. They were an actual military order,

with trained spies and a chain of command. We know their origin, their motives, and their trajectory. They devised long-term, coordinated, secret plans to reclaim power in every nation where they had been thwarted. Ever since the Protestant Reformation damaged the Papal stranglehold on Europe, they had used their Inquisition, their slanders, their intrigues, and assassinations to destroy Protestant nations and terrify them into submission. This only hardened the Protestants against Rome, however. It was open warfare in many cases, and the Catholics were clearly the bitter villains hoping to reclaim despotic authority. In Britain, the Jesuits even attempted to blow up the British parliament in 1605 with hundreds of barrels of gunpowder, aimed at killing everyone inside, in what became known as the Gunpowder Plot. They were thwarted and exposed. Yet it was precisely because the Jesuits were a global spy network with advanced knowledge in almost every field that they were also respected as private tutors of nobility, university creators, scientists, and writers; they were masterminds, and nobles hoped to use them for their own benefit while holding back their subversive plots. They thrived as the ultimate intelligence force since their inception, feared by monarchs who knew their workings.

So while Jewish families may have had ambitions through their private banks and trade operations, they were not a tightly organized conspiracy, nor willing to outrage the world with such daring schemes. Jews had a horrific history of being abused, but were becoming more accepted, less punished, and had little reason to arrange bloody revolutions that could easily backfire at the time of their greatest tolerance. Time was on their side. The Jesuits, on the other hand, were running out of time quickly and stood to lose the world. So, in their hate and cleverness, they projected everything onto Jews in their fictions and committed atrocities in their name.

Evidence within the Protocols

The main defense of the Protocols is that they “match reality” regardless of how many logical problems there are with the basic premise of the supposed Zionist meeting, or the nature of the document itself. This is very telling, because it proves a willingness to believe based on appearances and emotional reactions rather than critical thought and reconstructive logic. Ironically, the Protocols themselves warn about how the masses believe things based on appearance, which is a principle the Jesuits know well. What is missing is a plausible alternative explanation, which is what I have provided.

No serious person can look at the Protocols and accept that the Elders of Zion, if they were real, would say such strange things in their own secret meetings, about how they control this or that aspect of society, reminding each other. Their methods of control would have to be their daily concerns if the document were true. The way it's written, it's as if they are constantly in danger of forgetting what they do for a living. Anyone who controls his own household, much less an entire empire of cleverly controlled lies, is fully immersed in managing it; they would have no need for a boring repetition of the basic routines they take part in constantly.

Nor can anyone explain why the document would be written the way it is by the Elders. It purports to be an exact transcript of an endlessly long speech given by one person, rather than the main points of thought from various participants, which is what “minutes” are supposed to be. But, if we imagine that this speech was the final summary of everything agreed upon in a much longer meeting, then it even more silly to see so much bluster about the nature of the “goyim” and the basic concepts regurgitated.

And for what purpose was this document needed to begin with? Do they need copies for their collection at home? Is every rabbi on the planet supposed to receive their own private copy? Why would the elders not disseminate their ideas using their synagogues, via word of mouth, private letters, or informants? If this habit of creating transcripts has

been going on for centuries, we should expect to find thousands of copies of various meetings of the Elders of Zion eventually in archives. Are the usually destroyed after a time? Why has only one ever surfaced?

In short, the Protocols do not read anything like a real discussion between actual Elders of Zion. Instead it reads exactly as I have proposed: a clumsy projection of the Jesuits' plans onto an unrealistic gathering of Jews, with unrealistic dialogue, meant to alarm and unify the Christian nobility of Europe, while simultaneously instructing their own future proxies about the general steps they will be required to take in order to fulfill these manmade prophecies of doom, if the nobles reject the call to unite.

Examples of suspicious passages

Look at this passage, taken from "Protocol No. 5" and tell me that this was written by a real Jew for other real Jews to understand, and I will laugh at you:

Moreover, the art of deflecting masses and individuals by means of cleverly manipulated theory and verbiage, by regulations of life in common and all sorts of other quirks, in all which the goyim understand nothing, belongs likewise to the specialists of our administrative brain. Reared on analysis, observation, on delicacies of fine calculation, in this species of skill we have no rivals, any more than we have either in the drawing up of plans of political actions and solidarity. In this respect the Jesuits alone might have compared with us, but we have contrived to discredit them in the eyes of the unthinking mob as an overt organization, while we ourselves all the while have kept our secret organization in the shade. However, it is probably all the same to the world who is its sovereign lord, whether the head of

Catholicism or our despot of the blood of Zion! But to us, the Chosen People, it is very far from being a matter of indifference.

If this was not farcical enough, let us continue to the next paragraph, where the author dumbs down the conclusion for the reader even more forcibly:

For a time perhaps we might be successfully dealt with by a coalition of the GOYIM of all the world: but from this danger we are secured by the discord existing among them whose roots are so deeply seated that they can never now be plucked up. We have set one against another the personal and national reckonings of the goyim, religious and race hatreds, which we have fostered into a huge growth in the course of the past twenty centuries. This is the reason why there is not one State which would anywhere receive support if it were to raise its arm, for every one of them must bear in mind that any agreement against us would be unprofitable to itself. We are too strong--there is no evading our power. The nations cannot come to even an inconsiderable private agreement without our secretly having a hand in it.

And here we have the crux of the Protocols: the idea that the Protestant Reformation and all other divisions of religion have been a Jewish conspiracy to divide and conquer, and that the only way to oppose it is through ecumenism, or religious unification under the Papacy. The false choice between Jewish/Masonic overlords who hate Christianity, or a partnership with the Vatican to eradicate their influence, is the goal of the book. And as you can clearly see, the Jesuits make sure to position themselves as the only possible saviors, since *“in this respect the Jesuits alone might have compared with us”*, meaning in the respect of being *“Reared on analysis, observation, on delicacies of fine calculation ... in the drawing up of plans of political actions and solidarity.”*

And here in “Protocol No. 17” we have another similar threat:

We have long past taken care to discredit the priesthood of the goyim, and thereby to ruin their mission on earth which in these days might still be a great hindrance to us. Day by day its influence on the peoples of the world is falling lower. Freedom of conscience has been declared everywhere, so that now only years divide us from the moment of the complete wrecking of that Christian religion.

“Freedom of conscience” was a concept introduced and condemned by Pope Pius IX in the year 1864 in his “Syllabus of Errors” decree. For this reason it would never be used by Jews, especially among themselves in secret meetings. It would, however, be at the forefront of a Jesuit’s mind as he plotted how to manipulate an increasingly secular society falling into the hands of their Illuminati proxy groups. The Syllabus of Errors was part of an operation known as “Quanta Cura”, an attempt to position the Papacy as the savior of Europe and the world in the face of growing schism, atheism, and confusion. The Jesuits felt the need to advertise this operation’s potential benefits. Notice how freedom of conscience promoted by the “Elders” is contrasted against the credibility of the priesthood (ie. Jesuits) which is again advertised as a possible “great hindrance” to the Zionist conspiracy. Soon after we read this:

The King of the Jews will be the real Pope of the Universe, the patriarch of an international Church. But, in the meantime, while we are re-educating youth in new traditional religions and afterwards in ours, we shall not overtly lay a finger on existing churches but we shall fight against them by criticism calculated to produce schism.

This is one of the threats of the Protocols: the “King of the Jews”, obviously meant to be taken as the Antichrist by the reader. Yes, the Protocols outline at some length the nature of this “King of the Jews”, and

how he must behave, how he must be surrounded by what appears to be curious onlookers, and how he will be the opposite of the vain goyim rulers who enjoy being flattered, but are always guarding themselves in fear. What is the purpose of this description of their supposed Messiah, since it has not come to pass yet? Evidently the Protocols are a program meant for an indefinite future process, as they often say that there are only a few years until the Christian church will dissolve, but yet the fulfillment of its promises haven't happened. The lie of the Protocols must be dragged out for as long as the Jesuits can make use of it, which obviously took on a new life since the establishment of the nation of Israel. Building up the narrative and outward appearance of a Zionist conspiracy is of critical importance to the Jesuits, while the real goal is always the same: to divide and destroy all Protestants or opponents of Rome.

There are dozens more passages we could analyze in deducing the Jesuit origin of the Protocols, but let this much suffice.

The Dialogue in Hell

Certain key passages in the Protocols were stolen from an older book called *The Dialogue In Hell Between Machiavelli and Montesquieu* (hereafter called 'The Dialogue in Hell') written by Maurice Joly in 1864, in France. This alone proves that the Protocols were a forgery at some level. I happen to own and cherish my copy of *The Dialogue in Hell* and consider it a work of true genius. If any book was worthy to be plagiarized by the Jesuits in order to sell their Protocols to the nobles of Europe, it would be this one.

The Dialogue in Hell comments on how a despot could tame and conquer a liberal democratic republic using public opinion and various schemes, despite the safeguards of a free press, constitution, representative congress, and so on. Machiavelli (the advocate of the despot) and Montesquieu (the advocate of liberal society) debate how

feasible this would be in the afterlife; and hence the book's title. There are 25 "dialogues" in the book, each commenting on a different aspect of society. This structure was borrowed by the Protocols, which present around the same number of "protocols", which do not make sense as actual protocols in the text. Scholars insist that Maurice Joly intended his book to serve as a commentary on Napoleon III, who got himself elected as the first President of France despite the controversy of his deceased uncle, Napoleon Bonaparte. Eventually Napoleon III resorted to every trick in the book to retain power despite the limiting laws of the French Republic. Maurice Joly never mentioned Napoleon III in the book, but he was arrested for trying to publish it anyway, and it was banned for a while. A few years later he was released and had the book published under his real name.

Napoleon III, enemy of Bismarck

Not only do I totally reject the idea that anyone except a very real conspiratorial party with foreknowledge of their own plans could have adapted Maurice Joly's commentary into what we end up finding in the Protocols, but I reject the idea that Maurice Joly was limiting his commentary to Napoleon III. It is far more likely that he was criticizing the Jesuits as well. We know from the "Kulturkampf" of Otto von Bismarck that the Jesuits were infamous manipulators of the public opinion at the exact time that Napoleon III was in power, and that Maurice Joly was observing the situation. Bismarck finally banished the Jesuits in 1872 after a long and very public struggle with their Order, and by that point everyone in Europe (especially those who wanted to protect their liberal societies, such as Maurice Joly) hated them for constantly trying to impose the Vatican's despotism on free people. Napoleon III even tried to conquer the south of the USA.

Napoleon III protected the "Papal States" during his time in power, and waged war against Otto von Bismarck himself. He was a puppet of the Jesuits, just as his uncle Napoleon Bonaparte before him was. Any intelligent author could have seen that. For the character of Machiavelli to represent Rome would be appropriate since both are Italian, while the

French philosopher Montesquieu represented the very liberalism that was so hated and accursed by the French Jesuit Priest, Abbe Barruel, in his Memoirs a hundred years earlier.

Remember that the Jacobins, Freemasons, Illuminati, and the Jews were all meant to scare French intellectuals away from accepting liberal society, but this conspiracy theory failed to be heeded, and Napoleon III was no doubt meant to be a reminder. His uncle, Napoleon Bonaparte, famously focused on the question of the Jews during his reign for no apparent reason, despite resistance by Jews and foreign leaders alike. He forced them to assimilate more closely into French society and adopt different names, and removed their special permissions related to usury. He himself convened their “Grand Sanhedrin”, reinforcing the Talmudic myth that there was a ruling body of 70 Jewish elders at all times in their history, dictating their ways. This was very convenient for the Jesuits, to make Jews a spectacle to the world and revive the idea of their alleged conspiracy. Their Sanhedrin was tasked with answering tricky questions regarding Jewish customs, and the Jewish leaders were encouraged to use their newly established order to decide future matters. Thus, the Jesuits indirectly created the prototype for the Zionist congresses later and validated their own theories about their ability to make grand plans and govern themselves. A French newspaper published a message on behalf of Bonaparte which read, *“Bonaparte has published a proclamation in which he invites all the Jews of Asia and Africa to gather under his flag in order to re-establish the ancient Jerusalem. He has already given arms to a great number, and their battalions threaten Aleppo.”* The defeat of Bonaparte at Waterloo prevented this plan from coming into being, supposedly. Napoleon III was more obviously an ally of the Jesuits than the Jews, which is why Maurice Joly would have seen the connection clearly.

Maurice Joly’s Dialogue in Hell discusses many hypothetical scenarios in various levels of detail, making many comments on human nature and the comical ways in which politics play out. But it simply does not provide the bulk of the real-world insights we find in the Protocols. Look at the subject headers discussed in “Protocol No. 10” (you must read each sentence here as if it were points in a list):

The outside appearances in the political. The "genius" of rascality. What is promised by a Masonic coup d'etat? Universal suffrage. Self-importance. Leaders of Masonry. The genius who is guide of Masonry. Institutions and their functions. The poison of liberalism. Constitution a school of party discords. Era of republics. Presidents – the puppets of Masonry. Responsibility of Presidents. "Panama" Part played by chamber of deputies and president. Masonry – the legislative force. New republican constitution. Transition to masonic "despotism." Moment for the proclamation of "The Lord of all the World." Inoculation of diseases and other wiles of Masonry.

I can assure you that Maurice Joly did not detail these things in The Dialogue in Hell. Thus, somebody with a much greater understanding of real-world conspiracy contributed the details which are still being realized and appreciated today.

The Wandering Jew

The Dialogue in Hell supposedly borrowed several pages worth of ideas from another French liberal author, Eugene Sue. Although not the same book that Maurice Joly copied from, we can assume Maurice was aware of Eugene Sue's novel, "The Wandering Jew". Despite the title, it has almost nothing to do with Jews at all, but instead is about an evil Jesuit named Rodin who directs a vast conspiracy against an innocent family, using lies and trickery to try to prevent them from collecting their inheritance.

Not only is this another example of a French author exposing the conspiratorial nature of Jesuits, but the title and plot go out of its way to contrive an interesting dynamic between Jesuits and Jews. In real life, the concept of "The Wandering Jew" is an old European fable about a Jew who mocked Jesus on the cross and was cursed to wander until his return. In Eugene Sue's novel, however, this eternal Jew has been trying

to protect his sister's descendants for all those centuries. In the 1830s, the threat facing those descendants are the Jesuits. His goal is to reach Paris from Poland in order to help them. The family does not realize that they stand to gain a fortune if they can collect an old inheritance in Paris, or that the Jesuits have been plotting against them collecting it using diabolical methods. The inheritance has been in the care of a Jewish investor (actually the Wandering Jew himself) who received it from an older family member who was also despoiled by the Jesuits. The Jesuits know about the inheritance due to this. As [one summary](#) of the book's plot says:

The plotters are so meticulous and so thorough in their scheming that they persuade young Gabriel de Rennepont [the heir] to become a priest and a member of the Society of Jesus. Through Gabriel they hope to acquire the tremendous fortune, for by preventing the other heirs from reaching Paris—and the society has agents all over the world who will do its bidding under any conditions—they can ensure that Gabriel will inherit the legacy. Then, since he is forbidden by his vow of poverty to possess money, the funds will revert to the society. With that money the Jesuits will be able to reestablish their supremacy over the French people and will be able once more to govern countries and guide the destiny of Europe.

So you see, the popular author Eugene Sue found it perfectly appropriate to write stories about the Jesuits trying to reclaim power over France so that they could control Europe. The whole story is complex and truly dwells on the detailed plots of the Jesuits, including kidnapping, gaslighting, murder, arson, and plenty of lies. After being thwarted by a stranger's interference on the day of the inheritance:

Rodin [the Jesuit priest] immediately produces a paper that places him in complete charge of the Jesuit cabal. He proclaims that they did not lose, that they can and will win by employing

psychological methods instead of violence. He will let each heir destroy himself or herself by his or her own desires, passions, or vices.

Psychological warfare, greed, and a secret struggle between Jews and Jesuits over money and control of Europe. If there is any allegory in this discussion, it portrays Jews as wise protectors of the innocent against Roman plotting, despite their past faults and cursed state of existence.

Rothschild, a Jesuit invention

Having blamed the Masons, Jews, and Illuminati for the French Revolution with Barruel and his Memoirs, the Jesuits needed to keep their lie going and add weight to it over time. Bonaparte himself clearly represented this combination of dangers, generally called liberalism by Jesuits as a slur. Let's read an interesting summary of Napoleon Bonaparte's final days from History.com that will raise questions about whether he was really a great leader or a mere puppet, and work from there to the rise of the infamous Rothschild family:

In 1812, thinking that Russia was plotting an alliance with England, Napoleon launched an invasion against the Russians that eventually ended with his troops retreating from Moscow and much of Europe uniting against him. In 1814, Napoleon's broken forces gave up and Napoleon offered to step down in favor of his son. When this offer was rejected, he abdicated and was sent to Elba. In March 1815, he escaped his island exile and returned to Paris, where he regained supporters and reclaimed his emperor title, Napoleon I, in a period known as the Hundred Days. However, in June 1815, he was defeated at the bloody Battle of Waterloo. Napoleon's defeat ultimately signaled the

end of France's domination of Europe. He abdicated for a second time and was exiled to the remote island of Saint Helena, in the southern Atlantic Ocean, where he lived out the rest of his days. He died at age 52 on May 5, 1821, possibly from stomach cancer, although some theories contend he was poisoned.

First, let's note that Bonaparte started a military campaign against Russia thinking that they would team up with England. What is the connection between Russia and England at this time?

In Imperial Russia, Catherine the Great refused to allow the papal document of suppression to be distributed and even openly defended the Jesuits from dissolution. ... Under the patronage of the "Russian Society", Jesuit provinces were effectively reconstituted in the Kingdom of Great Britain in 1803.

[Wikipedia]

Russia and England both helped the Jesuits during their abolishment, and Bonaparte didn't want an alliance between them to be solidified. Perhaps he knew that the Jesuits would turn against him once they connected these countries officially and no longer needed him. He was humbled by his defeat, accepted exile, and learned to obey the Jesuits fully after that point. However, after they helped him escape the island and restored him to power again, they had no intention of letting him prosper. They were simply setting up a much more important series of events that would hinge on his defeat at Waterloo.

According to Wellington, the battle was "the nearest-run thing you ever saw in your life". Napoleon abdicated four days later, and coalition forces entered Paris on 7 July. The defeat at Waterloo ended Napoleon's rule as Emperor of the French and marked the end of his Hundred Days return from exile. This

ended the First French Empire and set a chronological milestone between serial European wars and decades of relative peace, often referred to as the Pax Britannica.
[Wikipedia]

Jesuit-controlled Russia defeated Napoleon the first time, and Jesuit-controlled Britain defeated him the second. Both were the only Jesuit strongholds in their time of exile. France was punished financially for Bonaparte's insanity, its territory was reduced, and its neighbors would go on to dominate them on either side, ever since. This is the punishment the Jesuits had planned for them for daring to expel them.

The infamous trick of Jacob Rothschild, to send a messenger to England to tell them that Bonaparte had won and was coming for them next, was sufficient to crash their stock market and allow Rothschild to buy up all of it for pennies. But I believe Rothschild was more or less a character, not necessarily even Jewish, designed to become the evil face of the Zionist conspiracy the Jesuits had hoaxed into existence to frighten Europe. Much like Bonaparte's inexplicable obsession with Jews and the Sanhedrin, the Rothschild family was destined to play the role of cartoonish Zionists under the instruction of the Jesuits. The Jesuits badly needed another public "Jewish" family to conspicuously skyrocket into global power, and to do it using trickery and deceit, because this would fulfill their Zionist Freemason/Jewish conspiracy theory and deflect attention away from themselves. They wanted the unification of Protestants and Orthodox under the Vatican, and they would use the Rothschilds to raise the stakes in England.

The timing of Waterloo

The entire "Hundred Days" comeback and surrender of Bonaparte seems to have been scripted to create the fateful rise of this Rothschild. What did Napoleon really hope to achieve to begin with? Scholars may sit astounded and analyze his mysterious mind, but such mysteries are better explained with a simple question of "who benefits". Bonaparte

punished the Catholic Church and ran amok with no plan to stabilize or run the country properly in the long term. Just endless conquest with unacceptable terms, like Hitler after him. Calling himself an Emperor and pretending to have a chance of success against the whole world was effective charade for hiding a myriad of other agendas. In the Jesuit script, he embodied the anarchy Barruel warned about, stirring up senseless evil and attacking Christianity in the name of Enlightenment, but in hindsight the story lacks coherence.

Let's remember the timing of the events. Thanks to the "Russian Society", the Jesuits gained operational power in England in 1803, just two years after the London Stock Exchange was created. The London Stock Exchange allowed the wealth of all the powerful English nobles to pour in and fund the war effort which defeated Napoleon, but it also meant the wealth of the great families would become susceptible to trickery, which was convenient for the Jesuits, who had no loyalties or scruples, and unlimited greed. In the twelve years between their arrival in England and the Battle of Waterloo, they could have hatched the Rothschild takeover scheme and used Napoleon to put it in place. Shaping the hapless Freemasons into a Kabbalah-obsessed secret society devoted to Zionist mysteries was easy for the Jesuits as well, ensuring that the secularists and liberals of the world felt free from Jesuit control while still being sucked into their game.

The Jesuit agent, Adam Weishaupt, created the Illuminati in 1776 in order to make sure the French Revolution did not end in peaceful negotiations and sensible reform. The United States of America had won its war of independence and was officially founded that same year, and the Jesuits were hated by the founding fathers. The Americans knew that the Jesuits were assassins and conspirators bent on world domination, and tried to stop them from infiltrating the country and gaining positions of power. Through their proxy groups of Freemasons and Illuminati, however, the Jesuits eventually steered America back into the hands of the Britain. With the defeat of Bonaparte and the rise of Rothschild—and not long afterward, the full restoration of the Jesuits by the Vatican—the world saw the time of "Pax Britannica", which lasted all the way until World War I.

The convenience of old British occultism

Despite “losing America” officially, England did not give up on American control. With the mastermind Jesuits as their guide, they fulfilled their imperial dreams of through occultism, conspiracy, and banking systems. The Kabbalist mystic John Dee and his Rosicrucian brotherhood convinced Queen Elizabeth in the 1500s that it was the prophetic destiny of England to conquer America, rule the world using its strength, and artificially fulfill all of the prophecies in Revelation—including the unthinkable restoration of the nation of Israel, and the subsequent turning of the whole world against it in the final days before the return of Christ. The Jesuits have facilitated this insane ambition ever since, allowing the Jews to take the blame.

Going back to the Protocols, we now see the matter more clearly. As the decades go on and the Jesuit conspiracy to make the Jews a visible nuisance grows worldwide, the Rothschild scheme pays off. They fund increasingly vocal Zionist political movements in the hopes of creating backlash. “Pax Britannica” ensures the Rothschilds (Jesuits) have unlimited funds and no danger of exposure in the English-speaking world. Anyone who opposes the Rothschild or the Jews gets the wrath of the British family, the London Stock Exchange, and the American secret societies beholden to obey them. Together they reign supreme. Freemasonry grew quickly as a shortcut to power and success among gullible Protestants and Americans, who were then directed to hate and attacks Jesuits constantly in order to discredit the notion that Freemasonry (and by extension, Zionism) has anything to do with the Vatican. The very hatred of the Jesuits passed down by the founding fathers became the best tool for covering up the involvement of the Jesuits in American politics. Masons are nothing but controlled opposition for the Jesuits, as is the entire Zionist charade.

The Jewish Prince letter

There is one more hoax that needs to be connected and exposed related to all of this. It is the so-called “advice to the Jews in France” letter

published in Paris in 1889, at the same time that the Jesuits were being shunned by Europe and the Protocols were being conceived of by their agents. Here is what one website says about this hoax letter:

This letter was printed in *Revue des études Juives* (Review of Jewish Studies) Paris France 1889. The newspaper, *Revue des études Juives*, was financed by James de Rothschild (Jakob Rothschild) a Jew, who managed the Paris branch of his father's European banking empire.

If our hypothesis is accurate, then this Rothschild newspaper was directed to publish this letter as part of the Jesuit scheme to promote the Protocols and give it a semblance of legitimacy from "Jewish sources". What's remarkable about this fake letter is that it supposedly predates the Jesuits altogether, because it is supposed to be an artifact from 1489. How awfully convenient, then, that it was only discovered and published in the exact time period that the Jesuits were under threat of extinction, and in the exact country where a Grand Sanhedrin created by a crazed emperor shortly beforehand. And how convenient that this Zionist Rothschild would feel so comfortable and bold about publishing the diabolical letter for the world to see, at this time of peril and persecution across Europe and Russia. One would imagine that a prudent world-conspiracy engineer would know better than to publicize a damning piece of evidence against them, after the Jesuits had already called out their operation in France a hundred years before.

Regardless, the story of the letter is that a rabbi wrote to the "Grand Sanhedrin" [there's that Bonaparte myth] in Constantinople in 1489 and received a reply about how to dominate society using secret tactics. The translated text is below, but remember that this letter is supposed to represent an ancient document not connected with any of the events we have been discussing so far:

Dear beloved brethren in Moses, we have received your letter in which you tell us of the anxieties and misfortunes which you are

enduring. We are pierced by as great pain to hear it as yourselves.

The advice of the Grand Satraps and Rabbis is the following:

As for what you say that the King of France obliges you to become Christians: do it, since you cannot do otherwise, but let the law of Moses be kept in your hearts.

1) As for what you say about the command to despoil you of your goods: make your sons merchants, that little by little they may despoil the Christians of theirs.

2) As for what you say about their making attempts on your lives: make your sons doctors and apothecaries, that they may take away Christians' lives.

3) As for what you say of their destroying your synagogues: make your sons cannons and clerics in order that they may destroy their churches.

4) As for the many other vexations you complain of: arrange that your sons become advocates and lawyers, and see that they always mix themselves up with the affairs of State, in order that by putting Christians under your yoke you may dominate the world and be avenged on them.

Do not swerve from this order that we give you, because you will find by experience that, humiliated as you are, you will reach the actual of power. Signed V.S.S.V.F.F., Prince of the Jews, 21st Castue (November), 1489.

This letter, which only emerged in 1889 and has no grounding in historic reality, was published by a Rothschild-funded Jewish magazine in France shortly before the Protocols became known. The very tactics

described in this hoax letter are what the Jesuits had been doing constantly, and what they themselves would do in the guise of Jews from that point forward. They would impersonate Protestants in order to ruin their lives and govern over them, or take on the role of an atheist philosopher or scientist. They are masters of disguise and deception. This letter was meant as a smokescreen and a call to action, so that all persecution of “Jews” (real or impostor) would become fuel for the coming “Jewish Question” they hoped to place at the center of the world.

Conclusion

My hypothesis is that the Roman Catholic Church has invented and carried out a vast and unforgivable conspiracy against real Jews, while blaming them for it. Their agents, provocateurs, propagandists, proxies, secret societies, and impostors have tried to control Jewish life and Jewish destiny since the 1770s, when their own Order was abolished for being too powerful and manipulative by the Papacy itself. Their Illuminati, created by a Jesuit, has been used to subjugate the otherwise clueless fraternities of the Freemasons, turning them into Zionist cults. The eventual partnership with the English, their Stock Exchange, and their Rosicrucian New Age dreamers who pray for the Kabbalist prophecy to become reality has shaped our world since.

In all of this, real Jews are the victims, as real Christians have always been the victims of the Roman Beast System. Catholic and Orthodox countries persecuted Jews in the name of Christ in order to ensure that they would reject Protestants and all future Christian teachings. Meanwhile, Protestants are agitated to either become Zionist (by false “conservative” politicians or “liberal” atheists) or hate Jews as the enemies of Christianity, and thus with the Roman Beast System to defeat their “common enemy”. This false dichotomy is perhaps the greatest deception Satan has ever devised, played out across centuries and continents. It was Britain who escalated both World Wars by getting involved in foreign matters, dragging in the commonwealth nations of

Canada, Australia, India, etc. and who roped in America as an ally during WWII with the scripted conflict of Hitler's threat and alliances. It was Britain that made sure to conquer Palestine and then inexplicably donate it to the United Nations, with no favor asked in return. Thus Israel was created out of thin air, and the anti-Jewish factions howl and point at Rothschild as the culprit.

Synagogue of Satan

I do not believe in a Jewish world conspiracy, but in the prophecy of the Bible, which states that the Beast, which is Rome, is allowed to make war with the saints and overcome them. It was given the throne of Satan, and all of his authority, with Constantine the Great. With this wicked throne of spiritual power it rules the nations and deceives the whole world. And what deception would there be if the Jews were running the world and openly bragged about it, as we are supposed to believe? The warnings of Jesus to the churches contain two exceedingly clear statements about this matter, and both point the finger away from Jews, and directly toward impostors:

I know thy works, and tribulation, and poverty, (but thou art rich) and I know the blasphemy of them which say they are Jews, and are not, but are the synagogue of Satan. (Revelation 2:9, KJV)

Behold, I will make them of the synagogue of Satan, which say they are Jews, and are not, but do lie; behold, I will make them to come and worship before thy feet, and to know that I have loved thee. (Revelation 3:9, KJV)

Yes, our Lord *explicitly rules out Jews* as the true conspirators. Rather, he warns us that there are impostors who claim to be Jews, and these are the ones behind the Satanic agenda, scapegoating real Jews as the

culprits in order to demonize them. Why do so few Christians believe this warning? The Protocols are full of information that proves beyond a doubt that there is a conspiracy, and it has great plans for the free world, but aside from all of the evidence provided in this document, we also have the true words of Jesus.

The real blame belongs to a libelous, treacherous, Satanic group of liars who blaspheme against God and slander the saints. These are exactly the same qualities that the Beast later displays in the same book of prophecy. The Jesuits are the only candidates who fit the profile of this Synagogue of Satan, in my hypothetical view.